#### Motivation

If the probabilistic model contains both continuous and structural discrete parameters, how can we sample from the posterior efficiently?

- Simple MCMCs are not efficient at sampling continuous parameters
- Advanced MCMCs, e.g. Hamiltonian Monte Carlo, can not handle discrete parameters

### **BL on Orthant Complexes**

An orthant complex is a geometric object  $\mathcal{X}$  obtained by gluing orthants of the same dimension

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(\tau, q) : \tau \in \Gamma, \ q \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}\}$$

where  $\Gamma$  is a countable set. Given observations Dand proper priors  $\pi_0(\tau, q)$ , the posterior

 $P(\tau, q|D) \propto L(D|\tau, q)\pi_0(\tau, q)$ 

- $(\tau, q_{\tau}) = (\tau', q_{\tau'}) \Rightarrow q_{\tau} = q_{\tau'}, \ \tau' \in \mathcal{N}(\tau, q_{\tau})$
- The adjacency graph of  $\mathcal{X}$  has finite diameter k.
- $U(\tau, q) = -\log P(\tau, q)$  is continuous and smooth up to the boundary.

## A Challenging Example

Let  $(\tau, q)$  be a phylogenetic tree and  $\psi = {\{\psi_i\}}_{i=1}^S$ be the observed sequences over the leaves.



A continuous-time Markov chain is usually used to model the evolution history

$$L(\psi|\tau, q) = \prod_{s=1}^{S} \sum_{a^s} \eta(a^s_{\rho}) \prod_{(u,v)\in E(\tau,q)} P^{uv}_{a^s_u a^s_v}(q_{uv})$$

- Efficient computation via Felsenstein's pruning algorithm [1].
- Orthant Complexes: **NNI neighbors**.
- # possible topologies: T(n), # leaves: n

$$T(n) = \frac{(2n-5)!}{(n-3)! \ 2^{n-3}} = e^{\mathcal{O}(n\log n)}$$

# **Probabilistic Path Hamiltonian Monte Carlo** Vu Dinh,\* Arman Bilge,\* Cheng Zhang,\* and Frederick A. Matsen IV

# Program in Computational Biology, Fred Hutchison Cancer Research Center



#### **Theoretical Properties**

Augmented state:  $s = (\tau, q, p)$ . Let A, B be a pair of measurable sets in the augmented state space. We assume the following condition  $P(\tau'|\tau,q) = P(\tau|\tau',q), \tau' \in \mathcal{N}(\tau,q)$ 

which is true with *uniform* topology proposal Z.

#### **1** Probabilistic Reversibility.

 $P((\tau, q, p), (\tau^*, q^*, p^*)) = P((\tau^*, q^*, -p^*), (\tau, q, -p))$ 

**2**Stochastic Volume Presevation.

$$\int_A \int_B P(s,s')ds' \, ds = \int_B \int_A P(s',s)ds \, ds'$$

**3**k-accessibility. Any two states in  $\mathcal{X}$  can be connected by k iterations of probabilistic path HMC.

**Theorem.** Probabilistic Path HMC preserves the posterior and is ergodic.

#### Surrogate Smoothing

 $\partial U$  is usually non-differentiable on the boundary which lead to  $\mathcal{O}(C\epsilon + T\epsilon^3)$  global numerical error, where C is the number of reflection/refraction events. We found a recipe by using a surrogate smoothing strategy and refraction in the leap-frog steps.

$$\widetilde{U}(\tau, q) = U(\tau, G(q))$$
  
$$\widetilde{F}(q) = (g_{\delta}(q_1), g_{\delta}(q_2), \dots, g_{\delta}(q_{2n-3}))$$

TT/

$$g_{\delta}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \ge \delta \\ \frac{1}{2\delta}(x^2 + \delta^2), & 0 \le x < \delta \end{cases}$$

and

### **Reflection and Refraction**

To maintain the desired properties of Hamiltonian dynamics, we adopted the *reflection* and *refraction* technique [2] when jumping between topologies.

• Reflection.  $U(\tau, q)$  is continuous across boundary

$$\Delta E = U(\tau', q) - U(\tau, q) = 0, \quad q_i = 0$$



Momentum & topology update:

$$p_i = -p_i, \quad \tau = \tau$$

• Refraction. Surrogate evens the gradients while creating controllable energy gaps  $\Delta E \neq 0$ 



Momentum & topology update:

$$(\tau, p_i) = \begin{cases} (\tau', \sqrt{\|p_i\|^2 - 2\Delta E}) & \|p_i\| \\ (\tau, -p_i) & \text{ot} \end{cases}$$

 $|p_i||^2 > 2\Delta E$ herwise

Topology transition attempts Figure 2: Loglikelihood vs topology transitions on a 1000 taxa simulated data set.





#### Figure 1: Expected number of NNI moves on a real data set.



#### Contributions

• Extended HMC towards sampling both continuous and structural discrete parameters. • Developed a smoothing surrogate function that enables long HMC paths with potential non-differentiable boundary transitions.

#### References

[1] J. Felsenstein, J. Mol. Evol., **17**(6) (1981), 368-376 [2] H. M. Afshar, J. Domke, NIPS (2015), 2989-2997

#### Acknowledgements

